Message

From: Schlosser, Paul [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=121CF759D94E4F08AFDE0CEB646E711B-SCHLOSSER, PAUL)

Sent: 7/8/2013 4:40:02 PM

To: McLanahan, Eva [McLanahan.Eva@epa.gov]

CC: Ball, James [ball.james@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups

I'm copying Jim Ball so he can see your suggestions below. Setting up a regular meeting schedule is part of we need to discuss Thursday, but I agree on at least every 2 weeks.

My calendar is fairly open tomorrow. Want to set up a meeting for the 3 off us in the morning?

-Paul

From: McLanahan, Eva

Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 11:55 AM

To: Schlosser, Paul

Subject: RE: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups

Ok. I'm catching up on email and have a lot to do from last week—and now the perchlorate stuff from Lynn.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Also, the Inhalation WG has meetings scheduled for every Monday at 9:30—and will cancel if they don't need them. That may be a little overkill, but could be useful here in the beginning. Maybe we should consider having a meeting scheduled at least every 2 weeks.

Just saw your email—I will begin to coordinate the modeling review group...

Eva D. McLanahan, Ph.D.

LCDR, U.S. Public Health Service National Center for Environmental Assessment U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 109 T.W. Alexander Dr., Mail Drop B-243-01 Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Voice: 919.541.1396 FAX: 919.541.0245 McLanahan.Eva@epa.gov

Alt. Project Officer - Contract # EP-C-09-006

Work Assignment Manager - Contract # EP-C-09-006 WA 4-01

Work Assignment Manager - Contract # EP-C-09-009 WA 4-62, WA 4-90

Notice (If this communication regards a contract): Nothing in this message shall be construed as a change to the price, schedule, or terms and conditions of the contract. If the receiver does construe it otherwise, please notify me immediately so that proper contract action can be initiated.

From: Schlosser, Paul

Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 11:45 AM

To: McLanahan, Eva

Subject: RE: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups

I'm crazy busy today, working at home, but should be able to discuss tomorrow.

-Paul

From: McLanahan, Eva

Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 11:42 AM

To: Schlosser, Paul

Subject: RE: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups

Hi Paul,

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Eva

Eva D. McLanahan, Ph.D.

LCDR, U.S. Public Health Service National Center for Environmental Assessment U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 109 T.W. Alexander Dr., Mail Drop B-243-01 Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Voice: 919.541.1396 FAX: 919.541.0245 McLanahan.Eva@epa.gov

Alt. Project Officer - Contract # EP-C-09-006

Work Assignment Manager - Contract # EP-C-09-006 WA 4-01

Work Assignment Manager - Contract # EP-C-09-009 WA 4-62, WA 4-90

Notice (If this communication regards a contract): Nothing in this message shall be construed as a change to the price, schedule, or terms and conditions of the contract. If the receiver does construe it otherwise, please notify me immediately so that proper contract action can be initiated.

From: Schlosser, Paul

Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 3:09 PM

To: Gatchett, Annette; Ball, James; Blessinger, Todd; Brinkerhoff, Chris; Burgoon, Lyle; Dannan, Ghazi; McLanahan, Eva; El-Masri, Hisham; Yang, Hui Min; Simmons, Jane; Lipscomb, John; Hammerstrom, Karen; Hogan, Karen; Kenyon, Elaina; Lefew, William; Lin, Yu-Sheng; Evans, Marina; Martin, Sheppard; Schlosser, Paul; White, Paul; Subramaniam, Ravi; Dewoskin, Rob; Rodriguez, Chester; Sasso, Alan; Walker, James; Chiu, Weihsueh; Yang, Hui Min

Subject: FW: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups

PKWG,

I think I have all the right/current folks on this list, along with our liaisons and additional contacts.

Our first assignment under the new IRIS process is described below; see attached. We should have the appendices by early next week.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

We can discuss further at our meeting next Thursday, but in the mean time you can look over the attached draft and consider if it's one you want to do.

-Paul

From: Cogliano, Vincent

Sent: Monday, July 01, 2013 6:38 PM

To: Bale, Ambuja; Ball, James; Christensen, Krista; Fox, John; Gehlhaus, Martin; Gibbons, Catherine; Guyton, Kate; Hogan, Karen; Hotchkiss, Andrew; Keshava, Nagalakshmi; Kraft, Andrew; Makris, Susan; Newhouse, Kathleen; Persad, Amanda; Schlosser, Paul; Stanek, John; Subramaniam, Ravi; Whalan, John

Cc: Glenn, Barbara; Kraft, Andrew; Burgoon, Lyle; Bussard, David; Chiu, Weihsueh; Cogliano, Vincent; DeSantis, Joe; Gatchett, Annette; Hammerstrom, Karen; Hawkins, Belinda; Perovich, Gina; Rieth, Susan; Ris, Charles; Ross, Mary; Sams, Reeder; Sonawane, Bob; Strong, Jamie; Troyer, Michael; Vandenberg, John; Walsh, Debra

Subject: Formaldehyde assessment for review by the IRIS Disciplinary Workgroups

Hello Disciplinary Workgroup Co-Chairs – Attached are the Tox Review for Formaldehyde, a memo describing approaches to the quantitative cancer assessment, and a "map" that identifies sections that pertain to each Disciplinary Workgroup. The Supplemental Information document will follow Tuesday.

We will discuss the process for reviewing this assessment at Tuesday morning's IRIS Management Council. Then the management liaisons can discuss the review process with their respective Co-Chairs. The Co-Chairs should select primary and secondary reviewers for the sections that are pertinent to their discipline, forward the assessment to their respective Workgroups, and determine how and when their Workgroup will meet to discuss the comments that you will send to the Assessment Managers (Barbara Glenn and Andrew Kraft).

Your review should cover:

- 1. Are the sections you reviewed clear, convincing, and objective?
- 2. Are the conclusions supported by the evidence presented?
- 3. Are the science issues addressed effectively, with alternative perspectives discussed where appropriate?
- 4. Are the issues raised by the NRC review of April 2011 addressed effectively?

You need not review the original literature. Begin with the evidence tables and see whether the synthesis follows logically and clearly. If not, that is a comment to take up within the Disciplinary Workgroup.

I will also welcome your feedback about how this process worked and how we might improve it in the future.

Thank you for your assistance in simulating the SAB and public reviews of this important assessment, Vince


From: Glenn, Barbara

Sent: Monday, July 01, 2013 5:40 PM

To: Cogliano, Vincent

Cc: Bussard, David; Perovich, Gina; Sonawane, Bob; Kraft, Andrew

Subject:



Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Instead of extensive track-changes in the files, providing editorial comments in comment bubbles will be more helpful for revisions because the document is not static at this point.

Regards, Barbara and Andrew